

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/903,944	07/31/1997	TAU-SAN CHOU	089166/0107	3007	
20306 7590 11/29/2001 MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF 300 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE SUITE 3200			EXAMINER FOX, DAVID T		
CHICAGO, IL	, 60606		1638 DATE MAILED: 11/29/2001	PAPER NUMBER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	A	TOWNER SERVICES IN
				AM.NPF
			An	32
			in in the second se	

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION
PYTHE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
a) W is extended to run 4 mo or continues to run from the date of the final rejection
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a). Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 1/9/6/ has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed
to place the application in condition for allowance: 1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
The proposed amendments to the daily and 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier.
presented.
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
DAVID T. FOX
Claims objected to: 4-5 Claims rejected: 1-3,6-37, 38-45, 47-100,108-118 Claims rejected: 1-3,6-37, 38-45, 47-100,108
120
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 112 2nd rejections and
4. The affidavir, exhibitor request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the previously submitted argic Menty have already been addressed.
The state of a substitution of the considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not
presented.
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.
Other